

IMCDb.org: "Stacked Like Me, 2006": cars, bikes, trucks...







BeanBandit 2008-09-06 16:58	"Ecchi na no wa ikenai to omoimasu" - Mahoro Ando 🏵	
ford_quy == 2008-09-06 21:17	Guidelines for posting pictures on the main page for a movie wrote Under no circumstance post pictures which, in any way, may be considered pornographic or unsuitable for viewing by the general public; including young children.	
	Why is this rule repeatedly broken? It doesn't matter whether any of us think it's appropriate or not, rules are rules.	
chicomarx 2008-09-06 21:30	That's not pornography, those are breasts.	
ford_guy	"or unsuitable for viewing by the general public; including young children."	
2008-09-06 21:35	how's that? Look, I'm not trying to start an argument over this, but we have A LOT of people that visit this site. Personally, I do not get offended at seeing some breasts but I know many other visitors might/would. I'm sure we have a lot of young visitors here. This is not the place for thatthis is the place for movie cars. This isn't the Internet Movie Breast Database.	
	If you disagree, that's fine, but it's breaking the rules as far as I see it.	_
2008-09-06 21:50	In Europe you sometimes see naked breasts in commercials, so it's not a big deal. Although they are unusually large The rules should be more specific about size.	
<u>Leoz</u> + 2008-09-06 22:03	Sixcyl, will you ever mature? LOL 🥯	
G-MANN ₩ 2008-09-06 22:09	I just think sixcyl shows himself up when he posts pictures of tits, he knows that some people will disagree with it and it's technically against the rules of this site but he does it anyway. And it makes him look like an old pervert (sorry sixcyl but it does). Last edit: 2008-09-06 22:09:57	
ford_guy ■ 2008-09-06 22:15	That's exactly my point. It's against the rules. It doesn't matter if they're common in Europe or what size they are or whatever. it's against the rules. PERIOD. And just because it may be socially acceptable in some parts of Europe, it doesn't mean that's the case around the world. This is the internet, after all. People from all over the world visit the site.	
chicomarx 2008-09-06 23:43	sicxyl was just trying to titillate.	
taxiguy ■ 2008-09-07 02:23	ford_guy wrote This isn't the Internet Movie Breast Database.	
	We should create one! sixcyl will be the webmaster!	
sixcyl	Leoz wrote Sixcyl, will you ever mature? LOL	
-	I'd prefer to be unmature at 50years old than already old in my mind at 15 years old 😊	



You don't get it do you? It doesn't matter if it's socially acceptable or considered right in your country. It's against the rules as I've pointed out already. Some of us log into this site from work at times or from homes


where our families live. What if there's someone else behind us when we're looking at it? You like breasts/naked women...that's fine. I have no problem with that. But this isn't the place for you to be showing them off. I know that sixcyl is titillating there. And some of you should be careful not to over react, unless we soon Ray B. hear the word "ayatollah" pronounced. 2008-09-08 00:37 Also I would like to recall something: the rules say "Under no circumstance post pictures which, in any way, 🔊 🖊 🔀 may be considered pornographic or unsuitable for viewing by the general public; including young children. Nowhere do they say that women's breasts are pornographic, or unsuitable for viewing by the general public; including young children (who in fact see them at a very early age everyday). The rules should be made clearer, then. If not, nothing can be held against him. It's a clash of cultures. In Bruges they have these in store windows: chicomarx III 2008-09-08 01:03 🍶 🖊 🔀 Chocolate boobies! Extremely popular with American tourists. To put it simply, it's not necessary to post nude pictures on this site, even if we forget about the site's G-MANN guidelines for a second, the fact is some members find it inappropriate and I think that's a good enough 2008-09-08 01:59 reason not to do it, yet sixcyl continues to ignore their protests and does whatever he pleases, it's like he just doesn't care what other people think. I don't find nudity offensive, but I think sixcyl's habit of 🔊 🖊 🗙 shamelessly smearing pictures of naked/half naked women on film pages is silly and rather tacky. Why can't he just keep these pictures to himself? -- Last edit: 2008-09-08 02:31:11 I am teasing myself but I find this an interesting discussion. Ray B. - Sixcyl habit may be originally silly, I agree, but it becomes healthy and rightful at the moment some try to forbid him to do so. 2008-09-08 10:35 - As I said, the rules need to be clarified. If not, what is "unsuitable" or "inappropriate" is purely a matter of 🍶 🖊 🔀 opinion, and if you let only the hypocrits of the world decide of this it's a blow against democracy. So, if you don't want any nuditiy in the pictures posted on imcdb, let's write it down and the problem is over. Until there is a naked girl in front of a very rare car of which there's no other on the siter, and then someone will say "maybe we can make an exception there...' -- Last edit: 2008-09-08 10:38:29 Just use spoiler tags... atom 🔚 2008-09-08 10:42 Spoiler - click here to see it -----🔊 🖊 🗙 G-MANN but it becomes healthy and rightful at the moment some try to forbid him to do so. 2008-09-08 14:22 🔊 🖊 🗙 I don't understand what you mean. Could you rephrase this? Ray B. wrote As I said, the rules need to be clarified. To me that rule basically means no nudity. We're all smart enough to read between the lines of what that rule says, including sixcyl. Maybe it should be changed to say "no nudity" but sixcyl would ignore it anyway. If not, what is "unsuitable" or "inappropriate" is purely a matter of opinion, and if you let only the hypocrits of the world decide of this it's a blow against democracy. This isn't about democracy, for God's sake... -- Last edit: 2008-09-08 16:15:35





	German)	
Skid =	Ray B. wrote	
2008-09-09 02:01	Also I would like to recall something: the rules say "Under no circumstance post pictures which, in any way, may be considered pornographic or unsuitable for viewing by the general public;	
☑ /×	including young children."	
	Nowhere do they say that women's breasts are pornographic, or unsuitable for viewing by the general public; including young children (who in fact see them at a very early age everyday).	
	3	
	Agreed.	
sixcyl	taxiguy wrote	
2008-09-09 09:10		
	Few is ok. This is too much and in the wrong context, IMHO.	
	What wrong context? Ins't this film about breasts (hudges ones) so what? 😂	
	I feel like to show these pictures because what more beautiful than women body? It seems to be off topic? maybe but not more than trains, planes, boats ,landscape, sculpture or architecture that we often send	
	relatively to the film uploaded.	
	That's the point. This site is interesting because it's about CINEMA too That's allow from time to time some possibilities of discussions and comments about films, and manything else	
	About this particulars pics there IS NO PORNAGRAPHY at all! and it's funny to count the numbers of you	
	saying: " Oh,personnally I've no problem with breasts , naked women pictures, and so on"	
	I've always said and I repeat that I would NEVER agree with pictures of pornography on this site!	
Ray B.	sixcyl wrote	
2008-09-09 11:43	Lâcheur!	
	You may be pulling my log there given Otherwise you summed it up perfectly in your last neet. I	
	You may be pulling my leg there, sixcyl. Otherwise, you summed it up perfectly in your last post. I especially like "it's funny to count the numbers of you saying:	
	" Oh,personnally I've no problem with breasts , naked women pictures, and so on" This should never have been an issue in the begining.	
Raul1983 🖶	Sixcyl, by promoting your rights and freedom of expression here you cause more harm than good.	
2008-09-09 11:57	Sometimes you have to think about other people too and not force everyone to share your moral standards. I'm sure majority of people find these pictures to be offending.	
	All that needs to happen is for antp to take charge and edit the pictures out of sixcyl's first comment and	
G-MANN	then this argument will be over. And sixcyl should realise in future it's better not to post pictures containing	
2008-09-09 13:59	nudity, because it will only cause another argument.	
	sixcyl wrote and it's funny to count the numbers of you saying:	
	" Oh,personnally I've no problem with breasts , naked women pictures, and so on"	
	We just happen to have a sense of what's appropriate and what isn't. We aren't just a bunch of prudes. These images aren't exactly offensive (this kind of film would still be allowed on TV in Britain), just	
	inappropriate for this site. Simple as that.	
	Last edit: 2008-09-09 14:33:59	_
antp 🚺	ford_guy wrote antp, you're the webmaster. You should be the one settling this now once and for all.	
2008-09-09 14:51	ditp//sale the Medinaster. For disorda de the one detailing this flow once that for all.	
	What shall I do, other than what was already done, actually? Guidelines were added.	
	Comments were made lots of times to clarify these.	
	A spoiler tag was added (not only for that, but still mostly for that). Except preventing Sixcyl from posting, I do not really see what else I could do.	
	Last edit: 2008-09-09 14:53:02	
G-MANN 💥	Just do what was done here: movie.php?id=113049	
2008-09-09 14:54		
D 450		

antp 2008-09-09 15:01	As far as I remember, it is not me who deleted pics, I just archived comments in a PDF, as these useless discussion just fill up the database which is already too big.				
G-MANN 2008-09-09 15:05	Just delete sixcyl's pictures and if he does it again (which he probably will) just delete his pictures aga so no more "useless discussions" will fill up the database. Last edit: 2008-09-09 15:06:28				
Animatronixx 2008-09-09 17:19	G-MANN wrote Just delete sixcyl's pictures and if he does it again (which he probably will) just delete his pictures again, so no more "useless discussions" will fill up the database. I totally agree! Fed up with useless discussions, fed up with tons of pictures that don't belong to a movie car database. And no, it's not a matter of breasts (To be honest, I know many people who wear them), but a matter of content (and thus a matter of being content)!				
Ingo 2008-09-09 19:20	and the other pervert Frenchmen (yes I know this is a bit racist of me to say About that we do have an own thread in the forum, you remember? Anyways, what's more pervert, to drink chemical stuff like "BUD light", or post pic with topless girls? But for me, too, this discussion should be going to sleep. We will never all totally agree with all other opinions.				
G-MANN 2008-09-09 19:22	We in the English-speaking world use the word pervert to describe someone with an unhealthy interest in sex (ie. an obsession, before you all start saying "what's unhealthy about interest in sex?"), not someone with poor taste in beer or things like that. Last edit: 2008-09-09 19:28:49				
Ingo = 2008-09-09 19:29	In know, here it's same. In German the word "pervers" (same as "pervert" in English) is basicially related on sexual things, too. But in the actualy spoken language, especially the youth's slang, it's also used in the meaning of "ugly" or "bad taste".				
Ingo = 2008-09-09 19:55	Just found: <u>Link to "einestages.spiegel.de"</u> It's in German, but you can understand everything just by clicking along the pics. So our discussion is not new. And it's everywhere else, too.				
ford_quy == 2008-09-10 00:20	sixcyl, we're expressing our opinions, so what? That's why I said I don't have a problem with breastshow could I? But I think that G-MANN summed it up pretty well. To you it may sound ridiculous, but that's just how we see things. antp wrote What shall I do, other than what was already done, actually? Guidelines were added. Comments were made lots of times to clarify these. A spoiler tag was added (not only for that, but still mostly for that). Except preventing Sixcyl from posting, I do not really see what else I could do. What I'm getting from your statement is basically that Sixcyl can keep on posting his pictures up despite all of the reasons that you listedwell, I guess that's the end of it				
taxiquy == 2008-09-10 04:55	Ingo wrote About that we do have an own thread in the forum, you remember?				



- You can use BBCode or HTML to format your message, insert links and pictures. Links are also detected automatically.
- You can insert some smileys into your message, see the list of available smileys.
- If you suggest a new name or year for a vehicle, and that you are not 100% sure of what you suggest, please mention it. Using for example "?" or "I guess", "I think", etc. So we know if we can trust the info without checking or not.
- See the Help page for more info.

Post your comment



The vehicle is part of the movie

Vehicle used a lot by a main character or for a long time

Vehicle used by a character or in a car chase

Minor action vehicle or used in only a short scene

Background vehicle

Unknown vehicle role

Seen only in preview/trailer

Seen only in deleted scenes

Seen only in an alternative ending

Seen only in an extra DVD contents

© 2004-2007 IMCDb.org - Contact us

Pictures are property of movie companies owner of the respective movies. Comments are property of their authors.

This site is best viewed with a modern web browser (Mozilla or Opera for example).

Page generated in 0.536 sec.